Utilizing pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) biomarkers to support recommended Phase 2 dose (RP2D) selection for Phase 1 study of SAR444245 (SAR'245) in patients with advanced solid tumors

Siqing Fu¹, Meredith Mckean², Gerald Falchook³, Minal Barve⁴, Cheng Ean Chee⁵, Charlotte Lemech⁶, Tira J. Tan⁷, Tarek Meniawy⁸, Tatiana Hernández Guerrero⁹, Wenting Wang¹⁰, Adyb Baakili¹¹, Neyssa Marina¹⁰⁺, Giovanni Abbadessa^{10*}, Silke Gastine¹⁰, Rui Wang¹⁰, Emiliano Calvo Aller¹²

Randwick, NSW, Australia; ⁷Division of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Centre, Singapore; ⁸Linear Clinical Research & University of Western Australia; ⁹START Barcelona-HM Nou Delfos, Barcelona-HM Nou Delfos, Barcelona, Spain; ¹⁰Sanofi, Cambridge, MA, USA; ¹¹Sanofi, Paris, France; ¹²START Madrid-CIOCC, Centro Integral Oncológico Clara Campal, Madrid, Spain, ⁺Current affiliation: ModeX Therapeutics Inc

BACKGROUND

- SAR444245 (SAR'245) is a clinical-stage, site-specific PEGylated human non-alpha interleukin-2 (IL-2) that selectively engages IL-2 alpha receptor binding but retains near-native-binding affinity for beta/gamma complex¹
- This results in a unique 'T-cell remodeling' mechanism of action (MoA), characterized by robust increase in CD8⁺ T cells, coupled with potent natural killer (NK) cell activation/expansion without inducing regulatory T-cell expansion $(T_{reg})^2$
- HAMMER is a Phase 1/2, first-in-human (FIH), open label, multicenter, dose escalation and dose expansion study of SAR'245 as a single agent and as a combination therapy in participants with advanced or metastatic solid tumors³
- The selection of dose and dosing schedule for therapies targeting interleukin-2 (IL-2) and IL-2 receptor (IL-2R) is complex due to the careful balance between maximizing therapeutic efficacy and minimizing toxicity⁴
- SAR'245 was dosed at less frequent dosing schedule, at every 3 weeks (Q3W) or every 2 weeks (Q2W). More intensive dosing schedule every week (QW) was explored, after the failure of other well-known engineered IL-2R agonist, to induce more robust MoA biomarker modulation^{5,6}
- Herein, we integrate various clinical parameters, including pharmacokinetic (PK), pharmacodynamic (PD) biomarkers, and safety, to contribute to the selection of recommended Phase 2 dose (RP2D) for SAR'245

METHODS

- Intravenous SAR'245 monotherapy was administered Q3W (Cohort B), Q2W (Cohort A), or QW (Cohort G) (**Figure 1**)
- Peripheral blood was collected for immune cell profiling, including circulating CD8⁺ T cell, NK cell and T_{read} cells
- Efficacy surrogate biomarker circulating DNA (ctDNA) was measured from serum by Guardant Health
- A semi-mechanistic population PK/PD model was developed to depict IL-2-induced cell trafficking away from blood to expansion sites immediately after administration and subsequent reappearance of expanded cells in blood
- RP2D selected based on the totality of safety, PK/PD association, and efficacy surrogate biomarker data will be reported elsewhere as a part of the clinical analysis

Study design

Figure 1: Phase 1/2 HAMMER study design (monotherapy cohorts A, B, and G)



MTD, maximum tolerated dose; QW, every week; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q3W, every 3 weeks; RP2D, recommended Phase 2 dose; SAR'245, SAR444245.

RESULTS

 As of September 2024, samples from 30 (Cohort A), 35 (Cohort B), and 14 (Cohort G) subjects were available

Comparing lymphocyte expansion induced at various dosing schedules

- Dose-dependent peak fold change of lymphocyte expansion was observed in Cohort G: 16 vs. 24 vs. 32 µg/kg (**Figure 2**)
- QW dosing schedule of SAR'245 at 16, 24, and 32 μg/kg, results in greater lymphocyte expansion compared to Q2W dosing schedule at the same dose levels (**Figure 2**)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: We acknowledge Tjokosela Tikiso from Sanofi, for contributions to PK/PD modeling. This study was sponsored by Sanofi. Medical writing pport was provided by Aruna Meka, PhD an Deepa Warrier, PhD from Sanofi, FUNDING:

This study is sponsored by Sanofi

DISCLOSURES: Siging Fu: Research Funding: Novartis, NeuPharma Inc, BeiGene, MacroGenics, BioAtla, Parexel International LLC, Boehringer Ingelheim, Abbisko, Lilly, Hookipa Pharma, IMV, Innovent Biologics, Lyvgen Biopharma, Millennium, Nerviano Medical Sciences, Soricimed, NovoCure, Turnstone Bio, Taiho Oncology, Nerviano Medical Sciences, NIH/NCI, Sellas Life Sciences, NIH/NCI, Sellas Life Sciences, NIH/NCI, Sellas Life Sciences, NIH/NCI, Sellas Life Sciences, NIH/NCI, Sol Science Ltd. and Vaccibody, Meredith Mckean; Research Funding: Novartis, NeuPharma Inc, Beigene, Macrosoft, NeuPharma Oncology, Nerviano Medical Sciences, NIH/NCI, Sellas Life Sciences, N imune Sciences, Arcus Biosciences, Arvinas, Ascentage Pharma Group, Bayer, Bicycle Therapeutics, BioMed Valley Di s, Regeneron, Sapience Therapeutics, Scholar Rock, Seattle Genetics, Synthrox, Takeda Pharmaceuticals, Teneobio, Tempest Therapeutics, Tizona Therapeutics, TMUNITY Th Sioatla, Bioinvent, Biothera, Bicycle, Black Diamond, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celldex, Celgene, Ciclomed, Curegenix, Curis, Cyteir, Daiichi, DelMar, eFFECTOR, Eli Lilly, EMD Serono, Epizyme, Erasca, Exelixis, Freenome, Fujifilm, Genmab, AstraZeneca, BMS, Janssen, MoTa, MSD, Nanobiotix, Nouscom, Novartis, OncoDNA, PharmaMar, Roche/Genentech, Sanoti, Service, Sunce (End) Service, Stearing committee, and T-knife; research grants for Achilles and BeiGene; steering committee), and Data and BeiGene; steering committee (financial interest) and IDMC participation for BeiGene (IDMC steering committee), Education for BeiGene (IDMC steering committee), and the stear of a construction of the stear of Clinical Drug Development in Oncology, START corporation, Oncoart Associated, and International Cancer Consultants and is the founder and president of the not-for-profit Investigational Therapeutics in Oncological Sciences (INTHEOS) Foundation. Giovanni Abbadessa: Currently employed by ModeX Therapeutics Inc. (employed by Sanofi at the time of the study). Wenting Wang, Adyb Baakili, Silke Gastine, and Rui Wang







Figure 3: Peak fold change (mean±SEM) of CD8⁺ T cell observed during cycle 1 or cycle 2 compared to baseline



Comparing NK cell expansion induced at various dosing schedules

• At 16 µg/kg, more NK cell expansion was induced by QW>Q2W>Q3W (Figure 4a) • At 24 μ g/kg and 32 μ g/kg, the NK cell expansion were similar among different dosing schedules (Figure 4b and 4c)

27



erapeutics, TopAlliance Biosciences, and Xilio; and Consulting/Advisory role: Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, BicycleTX Limited, Castle Biosciences, Eisai, Ideaya Biosciences, iTeos, Moderna, Pfizer, and Reger

ship): Astra Zeneca, Pfizer, and MSD. Tarek Meniawy

Figure 2: Peak fold change (mean±SEM) of lymphocyte expansion observed during cycle 1 or cycle 2 compared to baseline

Mono, monotherapy; QW, every week; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q3W, every 3 weeks; SEM, standard error of mean

Comparing CD8⁺ T cell expansion induced at various dosing schedules

• At 16 µg/kg, more CD8⁺ T cell expansion was induced by QW>Q2W>Q3W (Figure 3a) • At 24 µg/kg and 32 µg/kg, the CD8⁺ T cell expansion were similar among different dosing schedules (Figure 3b and 3c)

Sample size of Cohort G was smaller as compared to Cohorts A and B. CD, cluster of differentiation; Mono, monotherapy; QW, every week; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q3W, every 3 weeks.



*Sample size of Cohort G was smaller as compared to Cohorts A and B. NK, natural killer; Mono, monotherapy; QW, every week; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q3W, every 3 weeks

Flat modulation of peripheral CD4⁺ T_{ma} across all dosing schedules

compared to baseline



(simulated data)



Comparing NK expansion induced at various dosing schedules

- QW dosing induced more sustainable NK expansion

coveries, BioNTech, Dragonfly Therapeutics, EMD Serono, Epizyme, Erasca, Exelixis, Foghorn Therapeutics, Genentech, Gilead Sciences, Kinnate BioPharma, Metabomed, Moderna, NBE Therapeutics, Nektar, Novartis, Oncorus, PACT Pharma, Pfizer, Plexxikon, Prelude Therapeutic



nces (employed by Sanofi at the time of the study). **Emiliano Calvo Aller:** A

• There was no increased T_{ma} modulation when compared to Q2W and Q3W monotherapy (Figure 5)

Figure 5: Peak fold change (mean \pm SEM) of T_{real} observed during cycle 1 or cycle 2

Comparing CD8⁺ T cell expansion induced at various dosing schedules • QW dosing induced more sustainable CD8⁺ T cell expansion • We start observing a plateauing effect from 24 to 32 µg/kg (Figure 6)

Figure 6: Peak fold change of CD8⁺ T cell expansion across QW, Q2W and Q3W

CD, cluster of differentiation; QW, every week; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q3W, every 3 weeks

• We start observing a plateauing effect comparing 24 μg/kg to 16 μg/kg (Figure 7) Figure 7: Peak fold change of NK cell expansion across QW, Q2W and Q3W (simulated data)

aceuticals. Gerald Falchook: Research funding: 3-V Biosciences, Abbisko, Abbvie, ABL Bio, ADC Therapeutics, Accutar, Aileron, American Society of Clinical Oncology, Amgen, ARMO/Eli Lilly, Artios

honoraria for scientific board participation for Adcendo, Chugai Pharmaceuticals, and PsiOxus Therapeutics; employment at HM Hospitals Group and START Program of Early Pha

; and Travel (self, for work and/or research related to institution): BMŚ, EMĎ Serono, Fujifilm, Millennium, and d Speaker; Gilead, Invited Speaker; Daiichi Sankyo, Advisory Board; Gilead, Advisory Board; Novartis, Advisor

treated with SAR'245 monotherapy

 Molecular response corresponded with Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) response and showed better best overall response and longer progression free survival

Figure 8: Pooled patients from cohort A, B, and G to evaluate association of ctDNA molecular response (a) within clinical response (b) with tumor size reduction



Efficacy surrogate biomarker circulating DNA (ctDNA) was measured from serum by Guardant Health. Clinical benefit (defined as partial or stable response lasting >6 months) vs. in non-clinical benefit efined as progression of disease ≤6 months). ctDNA, circulating tumor DN

Figure 9. Molecular response showed longer PFS





Efficacy surrogate biomarker circulating DNA (ctDNA) was measured from serum by Guardant Healt

CONCLUSIONS

- We analyzed the HAMMER study of SAR'245 using a novel joint modeling model in a simulation approach to support dose and schedule selection
- The study allows to overcome the limitations of small patient and biopsy numbers, and the intra- and inter-patient and tumor type heterogeneity common in early phase clinical studies
- The current PK/PD modeling results indicate that more frequent dosing of selectivity to IL-2Rβγ complex

REFERENCES

- . Falchook G, et al. BMJ Specialist Journals. 2021; P481:9. Fu, Siging, et al. Cancer Research 83.7_Supplement (2023)
- . NCT04009681 available from website <u>ClinicalTrials.gov.</u>
- 4. Conlon KC, et al. Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research.
- 2019:39(1):6-2 Diab A, et al. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2023;41(30):4756-
- 6. Tannir NM, et al. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2024;42(23):2800-2811

Molecular response in ctDNA predicts clinical benefit in patients with advanced cancers

Kaplan-Meier curve of PFS for patients treated with SAR'245 monotherapy stratified by ctDNA molecular responder and molecular non-responder

G	
NA Decrease + ctDNA Increase	
HR: 0.49 95% CI:0.24-1.01) <i>P</i> =0.0445	
ہٰ Time in Months	9
3	1
1	0
6 Time in Months	9

ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; PFS, progression free survival

platform that integrates response and MoA biomarkers as well as the PK/PD

SAR'245 could lead to sustained expansion and activation of CD8⁺ T cells and NK cells, while not triggering T_{reg} increase, and thus confirms the MoA and high

QR CODE:



